High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to: How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Social Studies

Name of Assessment: Test Designer: Social Studies Multiple Choice and Short Answer Item Bank

http://www.testdesigner.com/questions/Social_Studies

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: 18 April, 2012

Assessment Profile

Grade Level suggested by this assessment: 1-12

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

SS09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.1; SS09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.3; SS09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.1; SS09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.3; SS09-GR.HS-S.3-GLE.1; SS09-GR.HS-S.3-GLE.2; SS09-GR.HS-S.3-GLE.3; SS09-GR.8-S.1-GLE.1; SS09-GR.8-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.8-S.2-GLE.1; SS09-GR.8-S.2-GLE.2; SS09-GR.8-S.3-GLE.1; SS09-GR.8-S.3-GLE.2; SS09-GR.8-S.4-GLE.1; SS09-GR.8-S.4-GLE.2; SS09-GR.7-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.7-S.2-GLE.1; SS09-GR.7-S.2-GLE.2; SS09-GR.7-S.3-GLE.1; SS09-GR.7-S.3-GLE.2; SS09-GR.7-S.4-GLE.1; SS09-GR.7-S.4-GLE.2; SS09-GR.6-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.6-S.2-GLE.1; SS09-GR.6-S.2-GLE.2; SS09-GR.6-S.3-GLE.1; SS09-GR.6-S.3-GLE.2; SS09-GR.6-S.4-GLE.1; SS09-GR.6-S.4-GLE.2; SS09-GR.5-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.5-S.2-GLE.1; SS09-GR.5-S.2-GLE.2; SS09-GR.5-S.3-GLE.1; SS09-GR.5-S.3-GLE.2; SS09-GR.5-S.4-GLE.1; SS09-GR.5-S.4-GLE.2; SS09-GR.4-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.4-S.2-GLE.1; SS09-GR.4-S.2-GLE.2; SS09-GR.4-S.3-GLE.1; SS09-GR.4-S.3-GLE.2; SS09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.1; SS09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.2; SS09-GR.3-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.3-S.2-GLE.1; SS09-GR.3-S.2-GLE.2; SS09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.1; SS09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; SS09-GR.3-S.4-GLE.1; SS09-GR.3-S.4-GLE.2; SS09-GR.2-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.2-S.2-GLE.1; SS09-GR.2-S.2-GLE.2; SS09-GR.2-S.3-GLE.1; SS09-GR.2-S.3-GLE.2; SS09-GR.2-S.4-GLE.1; SS09-GR.2 S.4-GLE.2; SS09-GR.1-S.1-GLE.2; SS09-GR.1-S.2-GLE.1; SS09-GR.1-S.2-GLE.2; SS09-GR.1-S.3-GLE.1; SS09-GR.1-S.3-GLE.2; SS09-GR.1-S.4-GLE.1; SS09-GR.1-S.4-GLE.2

What is the DOK of the assessment? 1

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations: 1-4

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed: Some of the content and a few concepts in the standards are assessed.

List the skills/performance assessed: Students are expected to recall information to respond to a selected response item or short answer question.

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

Check All	That Apply
Х	

Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)		
Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)		
Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.) Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)		
The assessment includes:	Check All That Apply	
Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction		
before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after		
students have learned)	x - answer key is	
Scoring Guide/Rubric	provided to most	
scoring Guide/Rubric	questions	
Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:		
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)		
Estimated time for administration		
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student		
see/use?		

A high quality assessment shou	ıld beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
1a.To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? Select one option below.		This test bank offers thousands of multiple choice, fill in the blank, and short answer items. Most questions are at DOK 1 or recalling facts from the social studies disciplines. School districts can create a bank of items. They may be able to select some questions and it may be useful for a small portion of the assessments.
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response:		
	Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score	1	
	Dating Calarra	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
1b . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
entity in the contract of the		
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: This assessment provides level 1 DOK assessment items to serve as	Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1	

A high quality assessment should beScored us	ing Clear Guidelines	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:		
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	X	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)		
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2,	
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: If the items align then the	Completely aligned=3,	
scoring aligns with selected response items.	Somewhat aligned=2,	
	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	3	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
This question is not applicable to a multiple choice test.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score		
2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	N/A	
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
It is multiple choice.	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	3	
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work		
would be needed?		
	Voc-2 Computate-2	
Multiple choice test.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Student Work Samples Score		
Student work Samples Score	IN/A	

A high quality assessment should be.	FAIR AND UNBIASEL	
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		
ormatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
The multiple choice questions are clearly laid out.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score		
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
School districts would need to spend time aligning the questions to the		
GLE in the grade level and disciple they plan to assess.		
	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	2	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of		
he items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Nothing stands out as being biased.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score		
8d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of		
academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
es, they would need to possess an understanding of basic social studies		
academic vocabulary specific to the disciple. Words such as cardinal		
directions, artifact, tariff, Articles of Confederation, Byzantine are	No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1	
ncluded. "Academic Language" Score		
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's	<u>.</u>	
Be. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
etting, and timing and scheduling:		
Presentation Accommodations —Allow students to access information in ways		
that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of		
access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual. O Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities,		
assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems		
ising some type of assistive device or organizer.		
Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment		
s given or the conditions of the assessment setting.		
Timing and Scheduling Accommodations —Increase the allowable length of ime to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the		
ime to complete an assessment or assignment ana pernaps change the way the ime is organized.		
The is organized. Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access		
academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment.		
The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency,		
which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a		
ognitive need.]	
If: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	

Timing, Scheduling, and Linguistic accommodations (ex. word-bank) would Yes, Several allowed=3; be appropriate for students who are legally entitled to these accommodations.

Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1

"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES	TO LEARN	
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
students, and students with disabilities 4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a	Circux air triat appry.	Strengths, Suggestions
real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Multiple choice questions that do not ask students to engage in real world	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
situations.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	1	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have		
learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		
There are a large variety of multiple choice questions for grade levels and	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
social studies disciplines. However, the items assess basic facts without	No=1	
application.		
Classroom Learning Score	1	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and		
student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning		
expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an		
explanation of your response:	Vac=2: Como···bat=2:	
There are many questions and no performance tasks.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	140-1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score 4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly	1	
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity,		
•		
transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students?		
Provide an explanation of vour response:		
It may be possible to create questions that require more application, but		
currently the questions ask recall of content knowledge and skills.		
, ,	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	1	
4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores		
and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s		
look like? Provide an explanation of your response:		
	Vac-2: Composite to 2	
It is only a partial representation of the standards.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Standards Competency Score		
4f : Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose		
the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting		
instruction, etc.)?? Provide an explanation of your response:		
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
These questions could be used for adjusting instruction and for classroom I	-	
	2	

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	1	3
Rigor Rating	1	3
Subtotal	2	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		33.3%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	N/A	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	N/A	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	N/A	3
Subtotal	8	18
Scoring Percentage		44.4%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	2	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	1	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	2	3
Subtotal	11	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		73.3%
Engagement	1	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	1	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	1	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	1	3
Competency on Standards Score	1	3
Locate evidence Score	2	3
Subtotal	7	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		38.9%
Grand Total	28	57
Overall Percentage		49.1%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	x -recommended very marginally. The bank provides many item possibilities to use to fill in possible gaps in the standards
Not Recommended	