High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Physical Education

Name of Assessment: Five for Life Program Basic: http://www.focusedfitness.org/index.php?id=47&title=basic

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: 11.14.2012

A high quality assessment should be...Aligned

Alignment	Rating Column	Comments
1a.		
Grade Level(s): K-5		
Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by		
the Assessment: PE09-GR.K-S.2-GLE.1; PE09-GR.1-S.2-GLE.1; PE09-GR.2-S.2-GLE.1;		
PE09-GR.2-S.2-GLE.2; PE09-GR.3-S.2-GLE.1; PE09-GR.3-S.2-GLE.2; PE09-GR.4-S.2-GLE.1;		
PE09-GR.4-S.2-GLE.2; PE09-GR.4-S.2-GLE.3; PE09-GR.5-S.2-GLE.1; PE09-GR.5-S.2-GLE.2;		
PE09-GR.5-S.2-GLE.3		
Indicate the intended DOK range of the Grade Level Expectations: 1-4		
Indicate the intended DOK of the assessment (list DOK levels): 1-3		
1b. Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed by the set of items or the		
performance task: Components of health-related fitness, body systems, fitness		
concepts, goal setting, tracking activity logs.		
1c. List the skills/performance assessed (what are students expected to do?):		
Components of health-related fitness, body systems, fitness concepts, goal setting,		
tracking activity logs.		

 1d.To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? Use the definitions below to select your rating. Full match – all tasks or items fully address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. Close match – most tasks or items address the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. Partial match – many tasks or items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. Minimal match – some tasks or items match some relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. 		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response: Closely aligned to Colorado standard 2 for each elementary grade level.		
	Full Match=5; Close Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1	
Aligned to Colorado Academic Standards Rating	4	
	Rating Column	Comments
1e . Are the set of items or tasks reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Use the definitions below to select your rating.		
 □ More rigorous – most items or the tasks reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. □ Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. □ Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. 		
Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: Similar rigor if assessor uses the assessment correctly to align with Colorado standards at the appropriate grade level.		
	Similar Rigor=2, More	
	Rigor=1, Less Rigor=1	
Rigor Level Rating	2	

A high quality assessment should be...Scored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria

Scoring Guide Present	Check all that apply:	Comments
□ Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	Х	
☐ Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
□ Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)		
□ Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
□ Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
	Rating Column	
2a. Does the rubric/scoring criteria align to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. Provide an explanation of your response: There are no scoring rubrics, but could easily be created according to the task sheets.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric Aligned to Standards Rating	1	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response: There are no scoring rubrics, but could easily be created according to the task sheets.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	1	
2c. To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? Provide an explanation of your response. There are no scoring rubrics, but could easily be created according to the task sheets.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low or None=1	

Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	1
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response. Provide an explanation of your response. There are no scoring rubrics, but could easily be created according to the task sheets.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1
Rubric/Scoring Different Raters Same Rating	1
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? Student work includes worksheets and written tests.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1
Student Work Samples Rating	3

A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED

Opportunities to Learn

A high quality assessmentIncreases Opportunities to	Learn	
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating		
3g: Are there adequate accommodations permitted for this assessment? Provide an explanation of your response. No accommodations provided. Adequate Accommodations Allowed Pating	Yes, Some identified=2; None identified =1	
3f. If applicable, what type of accommodations are provided to ensure that English Learners and/or Students with Disabilities can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? Provide an explanation of your response. No accommodations. Pictures would help with the ELLs and Students with Disabilities. Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling: o Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual. o Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer. o Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting. o Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized. o Linguistic Accommodations— Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.		
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's Standards" [http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4qwcy&cof=FORID:10&q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language)		
Confusing Language Rating	3	
3e. Does the assessment limit the usage of words that can be confused with one another (homonyms)? (Examples: ate/eight; sell/cell; allowed/aloud; beet/beat; by/buy). Provide an explanation of your response. No confusing words are used.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Academic Language Rating	3	
3d. Does the assessment use appropriate levels of academic language for the grade and content area? Provide an explanation of your response. Yes, the language is appropriate for grade level and standards.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	3	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an explanation of your response: Language is free of cultural bias.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Straight Forward Rating	3	
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? Provide an explanation of your response: Tasks and log sheets are clear and easy to use for appropriate grade levels.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	3	
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? Provide an explanation of your response: Tasks are clear and uncluttered.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Comments

Rating Column

Comments

(the areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and		
talented students, and students with disabilities)		
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world,		
new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your	High=3; Moderate=2;	
response: Some assessments do connect to a real world setting, for example, goal	Low or None=1	
setting for physical fitness.		
Engagement Rating	2	
# -		
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can		
provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom?	High=3; Moderate=2;	
Provide an explanation of your response: Tasks are aligned to the standards and can	Low or None=1	
provide good information about student learning.		
Classroom Learning Rating	3	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (<i>scores and student work</i>	History D. Mandamata 2	
analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with	High=3; Moderate=2;	
students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Assessments can	Low or None=1	
provide dialog with students and parents about learning outcomes.		
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate		
expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content	High=3; Moderate=2;	
	_	
areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response:	Low or None=1	
There were no 21st Century skills addressed.		
Communicate Academic Excellence Rating	1	
4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what		
extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to		
understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your	High=3; Moderate=2;	
	Low or None=1	
response: Teachers can use the outcomes on the performance levels to understand		
competency for the identified standards.		
Competency on Standards Rating	3	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what		
	Illah 2 Madawata 2	
extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g.	High=3; Moderate=2;	
diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of	Low or None=1	
your response: Assessment can be used in formative and summative ways.		
Clarity of Purpose Rating	3	
Summary	Earned	Possible
Standards Rating	4	5
Rigor Rating	2	2
Subtotal	6	7
Subtotal	U	85.7%
Duly in Allerta I Control of Control of Control	1	
Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating	1	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	1	3
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	1	3
Inter-rater Reliability Rating	1	3
Student Work Samples Rating	3	3
Subtotal	7	15
		46.7%
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	3	3
Straight Forward Rating	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	3	3
Academic Language Rating	3	3
Confusing Language Rating	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	1	2
Adequate Accommodations Anowed Nating		
·	16	17
Subtotal	16	
·	16	17 94.1% 3

Reflects Classroom Learning Rating	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence Rating	1	3
Competency on Standards Rating	3	3
Locate Evidence Rating	3	3
Subtotal	15	18
		83.3%
Grand Total	44	57
		77.2%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	X - could be fully recommended with the following suggestions: include scoring criteria/rubric teacher and student directions, assessor awareness of alignment to standards of Colorado
Not Recommended	