

High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to: [How to use the Assessment Review Tool](#)

Content Area: Physical Education
Name of Assessment: Ohio Department of Education Standard 6, K-2
Reviewer: Content Collaborative
Date of Review: 11.14.2012

Assessment Profile	
Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):	Check All That Apply
Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)	
Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)	
Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)	
Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)	X
Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)	
Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)	X
The assessment includes:	Check All That Apply
Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)	X
Scoring Guide/Rubric	X
Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like	
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)	
Estimated time for administration	
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?	
Other:	

A high quality assessment should be...Aligned

Alignment	Rating Column	Comments
1a.		
Grade Level(s): K-2		
Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment: PE09-GR.K-S.3-GLE.1-EO.b; PE09-GR.2-S.2-GLE.1-EO.b		
Indicate the intended DOK range of the Grade Level Expectations: 1-3		
Indicate the intended DOK of the assessment (list DOK levels) : 1-3		
1b. Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed by the set of items or the performance task: Values physical activity, encourages others to participate in physical activities		
1c. List the skills/performance assessed (what are students expected to do?): drawing, writing about physical activities people enjoy and why they enjoy them		
1d. To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? Use the definitions below to select your rating.		

<p>2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response. Provide an explanation of your response. Too much subjectivity and not enough clarity between levels of performance.</p>	<p>Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;">Rubric/Scoring Different Raters Same Rating</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">2</p>	
<p>2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed? Student work includes writings and/or drawings.</p>	<p>Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;">Student Work Samples Rating</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">3</p>	

A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED

FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Comments
3a. To what extent do most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? Provide an explanation of your response: Does not provide document for student to complete work. There is only a grading rubric presented.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	2	
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? Provide an explanation of your response: The task is clear and concise.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Straight Forward Rating	3	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an explanation of your response: There is no unintended bias.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	3	
3d. Does the assessment use appropriate levels of academic language for the grade and content area? Provide an explanation of your response. Appropriate to language in standards.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Academic Language Rating	3	
3e. Does the assessment limit the usage of words that can be confused with one another (homonyms)? (Examples: ate/eight; sell/cell; allowed/aloud; beet/beat; by/buy). Provide an explanation of your response. There are no confusing words.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Confusing Language Rating	3	
<p>*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's Standards" (http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4qwcw&cof=FORID:10&q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language)</p>		
3f. If applicable, what type of accommodations are provided to ensure that English Learners and/or Students with Disabilities can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? Provide an explanation of your response. There are no accommodations in the presentation of the task, however, in the performance of the task, students can draw, use words or complete writing assignments that match their developmental level.		
<p><i>Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Presentation Accommodations —Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual. o Response Accommodations —Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer. o Setting Accommodations —Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting. o Timing and Scheduling Accommodations —Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized. o Linguistic Accommodations— Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need. 		
3g: Are there adequate accommodations permitted for this assessment? Provide an explanation of your response. Somewhat. Task presentation does not have accommodations, but there are options for task performance based on developmental level.	Yes, Some identified=2; None identified =1	
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	2	

A high quality assessment...Increases Opportunities to Learn

Opportunities to Learn <i>(the areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)</i>	Rating Column	Comments
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response: Students are asked to connect to choices they can make in the real world and giving other reasons to engage in the activity.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Engagement Rating	3	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment is not exactly aligned to the standards, so the outcomes may not reflect what the teacher was expected to teach and the learner expected to learn.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Classroom Learning Rating	2	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (<i>scores and student work analysis</i>) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: Can be used with students and parents to provide information, but only where the content is appropriate for the student's grade level expectations.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: Does not completely address 21st Century skills although there is some creative thinking involved.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Communicate Academic Excellence Rating	2	
4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (<i>scores and student work analysis</i>) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: Due to the fact that there is only a partial match to the standards, there is a moderate level of teachers' abilities to use the results to look at competency on standards.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Competency on Standards Rating	2	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: Can be used for formative and/or summative assessments.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Clarity of Purpose Rating	3	
Summary	Earned	Possible
Standards Rating	3	5
Rigor Rating	1	2
Subtotal	4	7
		57.1%
Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	3	3
Inter-rater Reliability Rating	2	3
Student Work Samples Rating	3	3
Subtotal	12	15
		80.0%
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	2	3
Straight Forward Rating	3	3

Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	3	3
Academic Language Rating	3	3
Confusing Language Rating	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	2	2
Subtotal	16	17
		94.1%
Engagement Rating	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning Rating	2	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence Rating	2	3
Competency on Standards Rating	2	3
Locate Evidence Rating	3	3
Subtotal	15	18
		83.3%
Grand Total	47	57
		82.5%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	X-can be fully if changed rubric, student documents, and better alignment to 2nd grade standard
Not Recommended	