# **High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool**

To understand the review process and how to use the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Music

Name of Assessment: Silver Burdett Making Music Textbook Series:

http://www.pearsonschool.com/index.cfm?locator=PSZ145&PMDbSubSolutionId=&PMDbCategoryId=818&PMDbProgramId=155

21

Reviewer: Content Collaborative
Date of Review: April 18, 2012

#### **Assessment Profile**

## Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

K-5

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

MU09-GR.K-S.1-GLE.1; MU09-GR.K-S.1-GLE.2; MU09-GR.K-S.2-GLE.1; MU09-GR.K-S.2-GLE.2; MU09-GR.K-S.3-GLE.1; MU09-GR.K-S.3-GLE.1; MU09-GR.K-S.3-GLE.1; MU09-GR.K-S.3-GLE.1; MU09-GR.T-S.1-GLE.2; MU09-GR.T-S.1-GLE.2; MU09-GR.T-S.2-GLE.1; MU09-GR.T-S.2-GLE.1; MU09-GR.T-S.3-GLE.1; MU09-GR.T-S.3-GLE.1; MU09-GR.T-S.3-GLE.3; MU09-GR.T-S.3-GLE.4; MU09-GR.2-S.1-GLE.1; MU09-GR.2-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.2-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.2-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.2-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.2-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.2-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.2-GLE.1; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.1; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.3; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.1; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.3; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.1; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.3; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2; MU09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.3; MU09-GR.3

#### What is the DOK of the assessment?

The assessments are DOK 1-2

### Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

The GLEs range from DOK 1-4

### Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

These assessments allow students to show great understanding of concepts under the standard of Theory of Music. There are some assessment items that require students to show understanding in the expression and creation of music. There are few assessment for aesthetic valuation.

#### List the skills/performance assessed:

Fill in the blank, multiple choice, matching, product, process, performance

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

**Short Answer** (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

**Extended Response** (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

**Product** (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

**Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.) **Process** (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining,

visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

1	Check All That Apply		
	Х		
	х		
	Х		
	Х		

The assessment includes:	<b>Check All That Apply</b>
Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction	
before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after	X
students have learned)	
Scoring Guide/Rubric	X
Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:	
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)	
Estimated time for administration	
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student	Х
see/use?	^
Other:	

A high quality assessment shoul	d beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
<b>1a.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? <b>Select one option below.</b>		Strengths? There are some great assessment items for
<b>Full match</b> – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		Standard 3: Theory of Music. There are also some excellent DOK 1-2 items for Expression of Music and Creation of
<b>Partial match</b> – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		Suggestions?
<b>No match</b> – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		If short response questions/prompts were added so students could show
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		their level of understanding
support your response: The assessment does not address Standard 4: Aesthetic Valuation. In Standard 3, Theory of Music, instead of asking students to demonstrate knowledge of dynamic vocabulary, they are asked to match symbols to correct definition. Additionally, students are asked to make musical notations in various time signatures, but not asked to explain the meaning or difference amongst meter groupings. Overall, the students are not asked to explain their thinking or reasons behind particular choices. Therefore, there are many GLEs that are not assessed at the appropriate level of DOK.	Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1	or explain their rationale for choosing a particular answer, it would add more depth of knowledge and provide more alignment to the Colorado Standards. Fewer assessment questions.
Alignment with Standards Score		2
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	1
<b>1b</b> . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? <b>Select one option below.</b>	-	1
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
<b>Less rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:		
Some Theory of Music examples (i.e. fifth grade), have an inappropriate level of rigor (i.e. augmentation and diminution) and does not align with the Colorado Standards. Other assessment are less rigorous than the standards due to the type of responses required. There is a paper/pencil emphasis in a performance art.	Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1	

A high quality assessment should beScored using	g Clear Guidelines a	nd Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:		
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	Х	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)		
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	Х	
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
	Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
<b>2a.</b> Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic		Strengths? Answer keys are
Standards in this assessment.		provided for each DOK 1
Provide an explanation of your response: The answer key provided for each		assessment item. Generalized
DOK 1 assessment item aligns with the standards. For instance, there are		rubrics and checklists are
assessments on music terminology for different tempi. For		provided for singing,
		instrument playing, reading
does not specify detailed criteria for each grade level's expectations.	Completely aligned=3, Somewhat aligned=2, Not aligned=1	music, moving and improvising, listening, and composing/ arranging/
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	2	notating.
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
No, score categories are too general. For instance, the instrument playing		
checklist is too advanced for Kindergarten and to simplified for third, fourth,	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
and fifth grades.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	2	
<b>2c</b> . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		Suggestions? Include more
demands within the task or item?		specific rubrics that would be
Explain:		more appropriate for each
The checklist addresses the basics of the Expression of Music, however, it is		grade level. Include student
not specific enough to assess accurately the level of understanding required		examples of Creation of Music
for students at each grade level K-5.		and Expression of Music
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	2	items.
<b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b>		
No, the checklists are not specific enough to facilitate inter-rater reliability.		
	V2 C	
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	2	
<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would		
be needed?		
No example of student work is included. The student work that would be		
No example of student work is included. The student work that would be		
needed for accurate assessment among different raters would be examples		
·		
needed for accurate assessment among different raters would be examples		

A high quality assessment should be	FAIR and UNBIASED	
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)?		Strengths? The assessment items are based on songs and activities
Provide an explanation of your response:  Many of the assessment items are visually appealing and well organized, but		from the textbook series that do not exhibit cultural biases.
sometimes supplemental pictures (borders) and text obstruct the clarity. Additionally, the use of a five-line staff in first grade is inappropriate and difficult for those students to read.	All-2 Como-2 Nono-1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	All=3, Some=2, None=1	Suggestions?
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		The assessment items need to
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		have more opportunities for
Provide an explanation of your response:	1	students to show what they know that are not just pencil
The assessments could be modified to be more clear and straightforward. Often there is too much text and at times it can be confusing.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	and paper. Additionally, the directions and academic vocabulary could be
"Straight Forward" Score	2	simplified for English
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		Language Learners.
Overall the assessments do not appear to have cultural bias. There are musical examples representing many languages and cultures. However,		
many of the traditional American songs and poems do include vocabulary that will need explanation to many students.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic		1
language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Yes. There is too much academic language, particularly in the upper grades. Additionally many of the vocabulary for musical items are not included in the Colorado Standards.	No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score	2	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's		
<b>3e.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Each assessment item would need to be accommodated differently using all of the following accommodations. None of the assessments are modified for		
any type of special learner to show what they know. Additionally, movement activities and playing instruments to prove mastery may be difficult for students with physical limitations.		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:  • Presentation Accommodations —Allow students to access information in ways		
that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.		
<ul> <li>Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.</li> </ul>		

<ul> <li>Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.</li> </ul>		
3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment:		
All of the accommodations listed above would be appropriate for these assessments based on the needs of this child.	Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1	
"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score	3	

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES TO	DLEARN	
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		Strengths? Some of the assessment items can provide good information
No, these assessments require students to use music skills to complete, however, because the items are based exclusively based on the text they do not engage a student in thinking that connects to the real world.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	about what students have learned about music theory. Additionally, listening and
"Engages Students" Score	1	aural identification exercises allow the teacher to
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		allow the teacher to determine if a student has truly internalized some concepts and skills.
Some of the assessment items can provide good information about what students have learned about music theory. Additionally, listening and aural identification exercises allow the teacher to determine if a student has truly internalized some concepts and skills.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Classroom Learning Score	2	Suggestions?
<b>4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? <b>Provide an explanation of your</b>		The assessments could include opportunities for deeper thinking and analysis. Additionally, they could provide rubrics and exemplars that detailed exemplary student work.
response: These assessments could provide some evidence of student learning and growth, but due to the limited depth knowledge and lack of standards outside of music theory, it is limited in fostering meaningful dialogue.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	2	
<b>4d.</b> To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st century skills) to students? <b>Provide an explanation of vour response:</b> This assessment does not clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence. There are no models of exemplary student work, there are few opportunities for students to explain their depth of understanding, or specific rubrics to help guide students and teachers in their work.		
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	

<b>4e</b> . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Teachers can use the results to understand what competency on some standards look like. However, many of the items are not the same DOK.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;
Standards Competency Score	No=1
<b>4f:</b> Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Teachers can clearly identify the purpose the assessment serves.	
	No=1

	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	2	3
Rigor Rating	1	3
Subtotal	3	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		50.0%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	2	3
Inter-rater reliability	2	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	11	18
Scoring Percentage		61.1%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	2	3
Straight Forward Presentation	2	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	2	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	3	3
Subtotal	12	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		80.0%
Engagement	1	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	2	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	2	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	1	3
Competency on Standards Score	2	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	11	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		61.1%
Grand Total	37	57
Overall Percentage		64.9%

The assessments in this textbook series partially align with the Colorado Academic Standards. Most of the assessment items do not meet the rigor or depth of the standards. Furthermore, most of the assessments utilize DOK 1 and some DOK 2 skills whereas the standards require much more complexity of thought and understanding. Lastly, many of the assessment items require that students reference materials in the text. If a teacher does not own the textbook series, they will not be able to give the assessments with fidelity.

(Partially meets Standards Alignment Criteria)

An answer key is provided for each DOK 1 assessment item. Generalized rubrics and checklists are provided for singing, instrument playing, reading music, moving and improvising, listening, and composing/arranging/notating. These rubrics and checklists are inadequate because they do not differentiate for different grade levels or different tasks.

(Partially meets Scoring Criteria)

Though these assessments are visually appealing and well organized, sometimes the pictures obstruct the clarity of the assessment, especially in kindergarten and first grade. Additionally, the use of a five-line staff in first grade is inappropriate and difficult for those students to read. The assessment items are based on songs and activities from the textbook series that do not exhibit cultural biases. However, many of the traditional American songs and poems do include vocabulary that will need explanation to many students. Numerous assessment items, particularly in the upper grades, use a lot of academic language for the instructions. Oftentimes the instructions include a review of the concept being assessed. This is provides an unfair advantage to students who are better readers.

(Partially mosts Fairness & Rias Critoria)

These assessments require students to use music skills (singing, instrument playing, reading, writing, composing, improvising, moving) to complete, however, because the items are exclusively based on the text they do no engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge. Some assessment items can show teachers and parents what a child has learned in music class. However, it does not clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or life skills) to students.

# (Partially meets Opportunities to Learn Criteria)

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box	
Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	X
Not Recommended	

#### Rationale:

Overall, this assessment does not meet the rigor and depth of the Colorado Academic Standards. Furthermore, it does not engage students in critical thinking skills or the transfer of knowledge to other contexts that are so important in the 21st century. The use of this assessment tool will be limited in Colorado because it can only be accessed by those teachers and schools that own this expensive textbook series. However, there are some valuable assessment items especially addressing Standard 3: Theory of Music that are worthwhile indicators of student learning and growth.