

## High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

[How to use the Assessment Review Tool](#)

<b>Content Area:</b> Comprehensive Health Education
<b>Name of Assessment:</b> HEAP Mod 162 SM-IC Interpersonal Relationships and Communication: <a href="http://heaphealthliteracy.com">http://heaphealthliteracy.com</a>
<b>Reviewer:</b> Content Collaborative
<b>Date of Review:</b> 11/14/2012

Assessment Profile	
<b>Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):</b>	<b>Check All That Apply</b>
<b>Selected Response</b> (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)	X
<b>Short Answer</b> (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)	X
<b>Extended Response</b> (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)	X
<b>Product</b> (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)	
<b>Performance</b> (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)	
<b>Process</b> (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)	
<b>The assessment includes:</b>	<b>Check All That Apply</b>
<b>Teacher directions</b> (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)	
<b>Scoring Guide/Rubric</b>	X
<b>Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like</b>	
<b>Materials</b> (if needed to complete the assessment)	
<b>Estimated time for administration</b>	
<b>Student Directions &amp; Assessment Task/Prompt</b> – what does the student see/use?	X
<b>Other:</b>	

### A high quality assessment should be...Aligned

Alignment	Rating Column	Comments
<b>1a.</b> Grade Level(s): 3, 4, and 5th grade  Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment: CH09-GR.5-S.4-GLE.2-EO.c; CH09-GR.4-S.3-GLE.1-EO.e; CH09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.3-EO.c; CH09-GR.4-S.4-GLE.3-EO.f; CH09-GR.3-S.3-GLE.2-EO.b; CH09-GR.3-S.4-GLE.2-EO.a; CH09-GR.3-S.4-GLE.2-EO.b; CH09-GR.3-S.4-GLE.2-EO.c  Indicate the intended DOK range of the Grade Level Expectations: 3rd grade DOK 1-3 4th Indicate the intended DOK of the assessment (list DOK levels) : 1-4		Grade 4 and 5 are a partial match. Grade 3 a full match .
<b>1b.</b> Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed by the set of items or the performance task: Interpersonal Relationships and Communication		
<b>1c.</b> List the skills/performance assessed (what are students expected to do?): Reasoning, analysis, extended, explanatory writing		
<b>1d.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? <b>Use the definitions below to select your rating.</b>		

<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Full match</b> – all tasks or items fully address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Close match</b> – most tasks or items address the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Partial match</b> – many tasks or items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Minimal match</b> – some tasks or items match some relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>No match</b> – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
<b>Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response:</b> Grade 5. S.4-GLE.2-EO.c; Grade 4. S.3-GLE.1-EO. e, S.4-GLE.3-EO. c, f; Grade 3. S.3-GLE.2-EO. b, S.4-GLE.2-EO.a, b, c. are addressed in both the multiple choice and the short answer and extended response.		
	Full Match=5; Close Match=4; Partial Match=3; Minimal Match=2; No Match= 1	
<b>Aligned to Colorado Academic Standards Rating</b>	<b>4</b>	
	<b>Rating Column</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>1e.</b> Are the set of items or tasks reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? <b>Use the definitions below to select your rating.</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>More rigorous</b> – most items or the tasks reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Similar rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Less rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
<b>Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:</b> Assessment is DOK 1-4, standards are DOK 1-3. The extended writing and analysis component slightly exceed the CAS.		
	Similar Rigor=2, More Rigor=1, Less Rigor=1	
<b>Rigor Level Rating</b>	<b>1</b>	

### A high quality assessment should be...Scored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria

<b>Scoring Guide Present</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Generalized Rubric</b> (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Task-Specific Rubric</b> (only used for the particular task) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Checklist</b> (e.g., with score points for each part) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist</b>	<b>Check all that apply:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> x <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> x <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
	<b>Rating Column</b>	
<b>2a.</b> Does the rubric/scoring criteria align to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Rubric is very general and does not include specific language related to the standards, however, the scoring criteria is very specific and clearly relates to the standards.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
<b>Rubric Aligned to Standards Rating</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Rubric scoring levels use vague language, and not specific to content.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
<b>Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating</b>	<b>1</b>	
<b>2c.</b> To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> The rubric addresses the demands of the task but the language is vague.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low or None=1	
<b>Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating</b>	<b>2</b>	

<p><b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response. <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> It is likely that different score will be given because while the scoring criteria is clear there are no performance levels identified.</p>	<p>Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1</p>	
<p><b>Rubric/Scoring Different Raters Same Rating</b></p>	<p><b>2</b></p>	
<p><b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? <b>If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?</b> Anchor papers would be helpful in providing consistency in scoring.</p>	<p>Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1</p>	
<p><b>Student Work Samples Rating</b></p>	<p><b>1</b></p>	

## A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED

FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Comments
<p><b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)?  <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Plenty of white space, no graphics, font and bolds are used in an easy-to-understand, traditional way.</p>	<p>High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Clear &amp; Uncluttered Rating</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>3</b></p>	
<p><b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Multiple choice is very clear, even for lower level learners; short answer could be easily modified to be shorter or bullet points. Advanced learners could write more.</p>	<p>High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Straight Forward Rating</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>3</b></p>	
<p><b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Foods tend to be common foods found in the United States and situations are cross cultural.. Satiations are common across all cultures.</p>	<p>High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>3</b></p>	
<p><b>3d.</b> Does the assessment use appropriate levels of academic language for the grade and content area? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> Yes, we see the language as appropriate for intermediate elementary grades. The amount of reading required for this assessment may be too extensive for 3rd grade.</p>	<p>Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Academic Language Rating</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>2</b></p>	
<p><b>3e.</b> Does the assessment limit the usage of words that can be confused with one another (homonyms)? (Examples: ate/eight; sell/cell; allowed/aloud; beet/beat; by/buy). <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> No homonyms were found.</p>	<p>Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Confusing Language Rating</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>3</b></p>	
<p><i>*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's Standards"  <a href="http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bikids4qwcy&amp;cof=FORID:10&amp;q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language">http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bikids4qwcy&amp;cof=FORID:10&amp;q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language</a></i></p>		
<p><b>3f.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations are provided to ensure that English Learners and/or Students with Disabilities can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b></p>	<p><b>3.f. None provided, but easily adaptable.</b></p>	
<p><i>Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o <b>Presentation Accommodations</b> —Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.</li> <li>o <b>Response Accommodations</b> —Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.</li> <li>o <b>Setting Accommodations</b> —Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.</li> <li>o <b>Timing and Scheduling Accommodations</b> —Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.</li> <li>o <b>Linguistic Accommodations</b>— Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>3g:</b> Are there adequate accommodations permitted for this assessment? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> Accommodations could easily be provided.</p>	<p>Yes, Some identified=2; None identified =1</p>	

**A high quality assessment...Increases Opportunities to Learn**

Opportunities to Learn <i>(the areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)</i>	Rating Column	Comments
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Situations presented are real world, current situations for interpersonal relationships and communication for intermediate elementary students.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Engagement Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The questions lead students to write about real-life skills taught in the classroom.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Classroom Learning Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment ( <i>scores and student work analysis</i> ) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Yes, as the opportunity to speak w/ parents and students occurs, then this assessment, combined with a label including the standard, would provide clear information on performance in relation to expectations and outcomes.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Students are asked to utilize 21st century skills that can be transferred to other content areas, in particular, managing emotions in a healthful manner.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Communicate Academic Excellence Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results ( <i>scores and student work analysis</i> ) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The assessment requires students to know and apply skills addressed in the corresponding GLEs	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Competency on Standards Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Easy for teacher to see at a glance that this is a summative assessment.	High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
<b>Clarity of Purpose Rating</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>Summary</b>		
	<b>Earned</b>	<b>Possible</b>
Standards Rating	4	5
Rigor Rating	1	2
Subtotal	5	7
		71.4%
Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	1	3
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	2	3
Inter-rater Reliability Rating	2	3
Student Work Samples Rating	1	3
Subtotal	8	15
		53.3%
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	3	3
Straight Forward Rating	3	3

Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	3	3
Academic Language Rating	2	3
Confusing Language Rating	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	1	2
Subtotal	15	17
		88.2%
Engagement Rating	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning Rating	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence Rating	3	3
Competency on Standards Rating	3	3
Locate Evidence Rating	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
		100.0%
Grand Total	46	57
		80.7%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	X (3rd)
Partially Recommended	X (4th and 5th)
Not Recommended	

Rubric may need to be more specific and standardized along with the development of anchor papers.

The assessment covers many EO that are not a part of the 5th grade standards